Tulane Physician Scientist Pipeline Program (PSPP) Evaluation of Mentor

Please complete the following report and return to the Director of Faculty Affairs, Wendy Stark (wstark@tulane.edu). This report is not shown to your Mentors, but will form part of the report the Director makes to the Tulane Physician Scientist Pipeline Program (PSPP) Advisory Committee. Expand the space given below as necessary to allow full answers to each section

Scholar's name: Date:

1. Lead Mentor

Mentor's Name:

Degree/Department/School:

A. How often have you met with your Lead Mentor in the past six months? <2 meetings

2-4 meetings

5-6 meetings

>7 meetings

B. How satisfied are you with the frequency of these meetings?

	1	2	3	4	5
	Not at all Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
C.	How satisfi	ed are you with the qual	ity of these meetings?		
	1	2	3	4	5
	Not at all Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied

- D. Please rate the performance of your Lead Mentor in the following areas:
- a. Provides laboratory or other space/resources as needed for Scholar to conduct research

Poor 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Outstanding

b. Meets with Scholar on a regular basis and provides ongoing feedback

Poor 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Outstanding

c. Enables Scholar development of realistic career development plan including timeline and

milestones to research independence

		Poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Outstanding
d.	Facilitate	es effe	ctive	e inte	ractio	ons o	of Sch	nolar's	s inte	erdiso	ciplin	ary n	nentor team
		Poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Outstanding
e.	Promote	s colla	bora	ations	s nati	onal	ly and	d inte	rnati	onall	y		
		Poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Outstanding
f.	Guides S	Schola	r's p	orofes	siona	al de	velop	omen					s towards promotion and tenure
		Poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Outstanding
g.	Assists S		-										and manuscripts and
9.	identifyir				-		onay	ung					
		Poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Outstanding
h.	Attends	semina	ars a	and re	eseai	rch fo	orum	s at w	/hich	Sch	olar	prese	ents research
		Poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Outstanding
E.	My Lead efficacy									the f	follov	ving r	needs identified in my PSPP self-
	S	Skills re	elate	ed to	readi	ng a	nd cri	iticall	y eva	aluati	ing re	esear	ch articles
	S	Skills re	elate	ed to	writin	ig res	searc	h arti	cles				
	S	Skills re	elate	d to	deve	lopin	g gra	int Pr	opos	als			
	S	Skills a	nd k	nowl	edge	rela	ted to	o CVE) and	d ass	socia	ted ri	sk factors
	Skills related to project management												
	Skills related to communication												
	S	Skills re	elate	ed to	caree	er de	velop	ment	t				
	S	Skills re	elate	ed to	resea	arch	meth	ods a	ind s	tudy	cond	duct	

Skills related to interdisciplinary collaboration

F. Do you feel you are receiving the guidance you need (circle one)?

YES NO

If no, please explain:

G. What do you like most about your Lead Mentor?

H. What do you like least about your Lead Mentor?

2. Mentor Team

Co-Mentor #1 Name:

Degree/Department/School:

A. How often have you met with your mentor in the past six months? Not at all

At least once

More than one time

B. How satisfied are you with the frequency of these meetings?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied

C. How satisfied are you with the quality of these meetings?

1	2	3	4	5
	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor	Satisfied	Very
Satisfied		Dissatisfied		Satisfied

Co-Mentor #2 Name:

Degree/Department/School:

A. How often have you met with your mentor in the past six months? Not at all

At least once

More than one time

B. How satisfied are you with the frequency of these meetings?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied

C. How satisfied are you with the quality of these meetings?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied

Co-Mentor #3 Name:

Degree/Department/School:

A. How often have you met with your mentor in the past six months? Not at all

At least once

More than one time

B. How satisfied are you with the frequency of these meetings?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor	Satisfied	Very
Satisfied		Dissatisfied		Satisfied

C. How satisfied are you with the quality of these meetings?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied

Please complete the following table:

Му	mentor team	Agree	Disagree	N/A
a.	Encourages strategic thinking			
b.	Encourages creativity			
C.	Is thoughtful and asks probing questions			
d.	Allows me to work through problems independently			
e.	Encourages good time management techniques			
f.	Clearly states expectations			
g.	Offers constructive criticism			
h.	Demonstrates effective time management skills			
i.	Imparts technical skills			
j.	Involves me in scientific publishing and grant writing processes			
k.	Teaches lab management			
١.	Provides guidance on work-life balance			

My mentor team has assisted me in developing the following needs identified in my PSPP self-efficacy survey (check box for all that apply):

Skills related to reading and critically evaluating research articles

Skills related to writing research articles

Skills related to developing grant Proposals

Skills and knowledge related to CVD and associated risk factors

Skills related to project management

Skills related to communication

Skills related to career development

Skills related to research methods and study conduct

Skills related to interdisciplinary collaboration

Skills related to mentor skills

Do you feel you are receiving the guidance you need from your mentor?

YES NO

If no, please explain:

What do you like most about your mentor team?

What do you like least about your mentor team?

3. Additional Comments/ Concerns:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation form. Your feedback is extremely valuable as we continually seek to improve and strengthen our program!