

Early Childhood Risk in Louisiana	FALL
LSU/Tulane Early Childhood Policy and Data Center	2010

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	3
Economic Factors	5
Health Factors	11
Education Factors	16
Overall Risk	20
Conclusion	24
Appendices	25

The LSU/Tulane Early Childhood Policy and Data Center is a collaboration of the LSU Reilly Center for Media & Public Affairs and the Tulane Institute of Infant and Early Childhood Mental Health. Our mission is to conduct policy relevant research, collect timely and appropriate data, and provide statistical and economic analysis to inform early childhood policy decisions.

For questions or comments, please contact Kirby Goidel (kgoidel@lsu.edu) or Geoffrey Nagle (gnagle@tulane.edu).

Executive Summary

Purpose

The science is undeniable, whether in the field of economics, neuroscience or child development, that establishes early childhood as a critical period in life that can have a profound impact on future success, health, and prosperity. As children's most important brain development occurs before age five, their early experiences are crucial to learning throughout life. Children who begin school behind typically remain behind, and research demonstrates that as many as half of school failures may be due to gaps in learning and development before school entry. Therefore, it is imperative that governmental and nongovernmental leaders across Louisiana have access to indicators of early childhood well-being.

The LSU/Tulane Early Childhood Policy and Data Center developed the Early Childhood Risk in Louisiana report for BrightStart as a statewide "risk assessment" of children ages 0-5. This risk data is provided for the state and detailed at the parish level and serves as a foundation for parish and state leaders to:

- Better understand the needs of young children in their area, and
- Inform decisions regarding early childhood policy and investments.

These indicators can be tracked over time and will assist communities and the state to better understand, and respond to, the strengths and vulnerabilities of their youngest children.

Methodology

This report provides parish level data on 11 economic, health and education indicators of early childhood wellbeing that influence a child's ability to be ready for school and achieve positive outcomes. An average score across all 11 indicators is used to define a parish's overall risk. This risk is based on a comparison of Louisiana's parishes to each other and is not a statement of risk compared to any other county or state in the country. This report utilizes a methodology that was created and implemented in Pennsylvania.

Findings

There are approximately 310,716 children under age five in Louisiana. The findings of the risk of each parish with regard to these children are grouped at four levels — Low, Low-Moderate, Moderate-High and High. For example, a score of "Low Risk" suggests that the young children in that parish are well prepared and ready for school. By contrast, a score of "High Risk" suggests that the young children in that parish are at risk of entering school already behind, remaining behind, and failing to achieve positive outcomes in school and beyond.

- Low Risk: No parish in Louisiana achieved an overall score of Low Risk, that is, a score that would suggest its young children are at Low Risk for school failure or poor outcomes.
- Low-Moderate Risk: Of the 64 parishes, 13 (20.3%) score in the Low-Moderate Risk category, and 80,299 young children (25.8%) live in these parishes.
- Moderate-High Risk: At increased risk are the 38 parishes (59.4%) that score in the Moderate-High Risk category, and 187,322 young children (60.3%) live in these parishes.
- **High Risk:** Finally, 13 parishes (20.3%) are in the High Risk category, and 40,658 young children (13.9%) live in these parishes.
- **Overall:** Approximately three-quarters (74.2%) of Louisiana's young children (230,417) are growing up in Moderate-High Risk or High Risk parishes (51 of the 64 parishes).

Economic Risk

Five of the risk indicators measure a type of economic risk facing young children. Thirty-three parishes (52%) ranked in the High Risk category on at least one of these five economic risk factors. In fact, four parishes (Concordia, East Carroll, Madison, and Tensas) were in the High Risk group on all five of the economic indicators.

Health Risk

Four of the risk indicators measure a type of health risk facing young children. Thirty-nine parishes (61%) are at High Risk on at least one of the health indicators. However, no parish scored in the High Risk category on all four health indicators, and even the High Risk parishes often scored in the Low Risk category on at least one indicator.

Education Risk

Two of the risk indicators measure a type of education risk facing young children. Twenty-eight parishes (44%) scored in the High Risk category on at least one of these two indicators, and four parishes (Jefferson, Orleans, Tangipahoa and Union) scored in the High Risk category for both. Six parishes scored in the High Risk category on one of the indicators and the Low Risk category for the other (Allen, Ascension, Caldwell, Lafayette, La Salle, and St. Helena).

While certain parishes are higher risk environments for young children, it should be noted that 92% of all Louisiana parishes (59 out of 64) are rated as "High Risk" on at least one of the indicators and 100% of the parishes are rated as "Moderate-High Risk" on at least one of the indicators. Even the top five ranking lowest risk parishes, Ascension, St. Tammany, Lafayette, Livingston and Cameron, have at least one indicator in the High Risk category. Similarly, each of the five highest risk parishes, Concordia, Tensas, Richland, Madison and Catahoula, had at least two indicators in the Low and/or Low-Moderate risk category (except for Richland which had only one). Therefore, all of the parishes in the state, regardless of their current ranking, have strengths from which to build and vulnerabilities that need to be addressed.

A future addition to this report is forthcoming that examines the reach of early childhood programs and whether these services match the needs in each parish, as shown in this risk report. These two sources of information together, the risk and reach, will provide parish and state leaders with valuable tools to make more informed decisions regarding the challenges facing children 0-5 in our state, and the resources being dedicated and utilized to support these young children and their families.

2

Introduction

The importance and significance of the early childhood period continues to gain attention and acceptance throughout the country. The science is undeniable, whether in the field of economics, neuroscience or child development, that establishes early childhood as a critical period in life that impacts future success, health, and prosperity. As children's most important brain development occurs before age five, their early experiences are crucial to learning throughout life. Children who begin school behind typically remain behind, and research demonstrates that as many as half of school failures may be due to gaps in learning and development before school entry.

Scientific research now tells us that some of our most expensive social problems, including crime, unemployment, school failure and poverty, are rooted in early childhood. Therefore, it is no surprise that prevention and early intervention efforts targeting early childhood are less costly and more effective than later remediation. As a result, noted economists state that investments in early childhood yield high economic benefits which extend to families, communities, and the general public. James Heckman, a Nobel Laureate in Economics, asserts, "As states are under constant pressure to improve the efficient use of ever dwindling financial resources, any investment away from young children can be viewed as a diversion of resources from the most efficient use of those funds." Similarly, Art Rolnick, Senior Vice-President and Director of Research for the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis says, "Investment in early childhood development, if properly funded and managed, yields an extraordinary return, far exceeding the return on most public investments. Any proposed economic development list should have early childhood development at the top."

While there is no crystal ball that reveals the future of our state, the evidence is clear that laying a strong foundation for future prosperity and success should begin with improving the outcomes for our youngest children. Unfortunately, Louisiana has consistently scored poorly on indicators of child well-being, ranking 49th or worse in the Kids Count Data Book¹ each year for the past twenty years. However, Kids Count tracks data for all children through age 18 and there is no emphasis on indicators specifically targeted to our youngest children. With the profound importance of the early childhood period to a child reaching their full potential, it is imperative that we begin to monitor specific indicators of early childhood well-being.

This report provides eleven indicators of early childhood well-being and summarizes the data to define the level of risk children are exposed to during early childhood across the state. This risk is based on a comparison of Louisiana's parishes to each other. Risk is defined using economic, health and education indicators that can support, or harm, a child's chances of succeeding in school and later in life. A map of each indicator is included to visually illustrate these parish to parish comparisons for each of the 11 specific indicators. An average score across all 11 indicators is used to define a parish's overall risk. These indicators can be tracked over time and will help communities and the state better understand their early childhood strengths and vulnerabilities. These indicators may be used to help inform decision making at the local and/or state level and thereby influence future policies, practices and the allocation of resources.

State Efforts to Improve Early Childhood Development

One comprehensive effort in Louisiana to support young children is BrightStart. BrightStart is Governor Jindal's Early Childhood Advisory Council (ECAC). The ECAC is charged under federal law to develop and implement a plan to improve the coordination of programs and services for children from birth to school entry. The federal stimulus package in 2009 made funding available to states for their ECAC's.

In existence since 2003, BrightStart began as a small systems building effort working to develop, maintain and strengthen systems integration and partnerships to enhance children's ability to enter school healthy and ready to learn. The priority areas of BrightStart include:

- Access to Health Insurance and Medical Homes
- Mental Health and Social-Emotional Development
- Early Care and Education
- Parenting Education/Family Support
- Child Safety

BrightStart has developed this report as a statewide "risk assessment" of children ages 0-5² in Louisiana. It is the first half of the forthcoming Risk and Reach Assessment which will document both the risk and the services provided (the reach). The information provided is for all 64 parishes in Louisiana. This risk data can be used to help parish level leaders:

- 1. Better understand the needs of young children in their area, and
- 2. Inform decisions regarding early childhood policy and investments.

Methodology

This report examines 11 different risk indicators in order to determine the parish-level risk for young children in Louisiana. These risk indicators can be separated into three different categories: economic, health, and education. The 11 indicators are shown below in Table 1. A methodology developed and utilized in Pennsylvania is used here to estimate risk⁴. The average score of the 11 indicators is used to determine the overall risk score. Based on the average scores, parishes are placed in one of four risk groups as follows:

AVERAGE SCORE	RISK GROUP
1	Low
1.01 - 2	Low-Moderate
2.01 - 3	Moderate-High
3.01 - 4	High

It is important to recognize that parishes are only being compared within Louisiana to other parishes. Therefore, a parish scoring in the "Low Risk" group does not mean it is a Low Risk parish compared to counties in other states. Instead, Low Risk simply means that young children in that parish are at low risk as compared to young children in other parishes in Louisiana. Comparisons to national level data are provided, when available, to help contextualize the indicators.

Each of the 11 risk indicators are a percentage or a rate and therefore are able to be compared across parishes of varying population sizes. The parish specific percentage or rate is provided in each of the tables and the maps show how each parish compares based upon being placed in one of four equal sized groups of parishes called quartiles. Therefore, for each specific indicator, there are 25% of the parishes in the Low risk group, 25% in the Low-Moderate risk group, 25% in the Moderate-High risk group, and 25% in the High risk group.

ECONOMIC FACTORS	HEALTH FACTORS	EDUCATION FACTORS
Unemployment Rate	Percent Low Birth Weight	Pre-Literacy Skills Measured at Kindergarten Entry
Percent of Births to Single Mothers	Teen Birth Rate	Percent of Children (Ages 0-5) in Publicly Funded Pre-K, Head Start, Early Head Start or High Quality Child Care
Percent of Mothers with Less than High School Education	Infant Mortality Rate	
Percent Children Ages 0-5 Below Poverty	Percent of Uninsured Children Ages 0-5	
Median Income as Percent of Poverty		

Table 1 — List of Indicators³

² In this report, children ages 0-5 means children under the age of 5 (or up until their 5th birthday).

³ An explanation of the sources used for the data is provided in Appendix 3.

⁴ See http://www.pakeys.org/pages/get.aspx?page=EarlyLearning_Reach



Economic Factors

1. Percent Unemployed

The percent unemployed, commonly referred to as the unemployment rate, is significant as an indicator for multiple reasons. Research indicates that unemployed persons are more likely to have mental distress and experience depression, anxiety, or loss of self-esteem. The resulting increase in family stress from unemployment, especially when a child is very young, may have long term implications on academic achievement, entry into the workforce, and problematic behavior⁵, and negatively impact the quality of parenting⁶. The parish-level percent unemployed used in this analysis are from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and reflect parish-level unemployment as of December 2009. Louisiana's unemployment rate (7.2 percent) remains below the national unemployment rate. However, twelve parishes are above the national unemployment rate with particularly high unemployment in Morehouse (15.2 percent) and West Carroll (18.6 percent).

Figure 1 — Parish Level Percent Unemployed (December 2009)



Table 2. Parish Level Percent Unemployed (December 2009)

MAP	PE	RCENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP	Р	ERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	10.0		32	Livingston	7.0	2
	Louisiana	7.2		33	Madison	9.9	4
1	Acadia	6.9	1	34	Morehouse	15.2	4
2	Allen	11.9	4	35	Natchitoches	8.4	3
3	Ascension	6.8	1	36	Orleans	9.5	4
4	Assumption	9.7	4	37	Ouachita	7.4	2
5	Avoyelles	8.2	3	38	Plaquemines	5.9	1
6	Beauregard	7.9	3	39	Pointe Coupee	7.6	2
7	Bienville	9.3	3	40	Rapides	6.6	1
8	Bossier	6.0	1	41	Red River	9.5	4
9	Caddo	7.5	2	42	Richland	10.6	4
10	Calcasieu	6.9	1	43	Sabine	7.7	2
11	Caldwell	10.5	4	44	St. Bernard	9.8	4
12	Cameron	5.9	1	45	St. Charles	6.2	1
13	Catahoula	10.6	4	46	St. Helena	12.4	4
14	Claiborne	9.4	3	47	St. James	10.5	4
15	Concordia	10.9	4	48	St. John the Bapt	ist 8.7	3
16	DeSoto	8.5	3	49	St. Landry	8.2	3
17	East Baton Rouge	e 6.5	1	50	St. Martin	7.1	2
18	East Carroll	14.2	4	51	St. Mary	9.0	3
19	East Feliciana	7.5	2	52	St. Tammany	4.9	1
20	Evangeline	9.0	3	53	Tangipahoa	8.2	3
21	Franklin	7.8	2	54	Tensas	13.4	4
22	Grant	8.3	3	55	Terrebonne	5.3	1
23	Iberia	7.8	2	56	Union	9.4	3
24	Iberville	10.0	4	57	Vermilion	7.4	2
25	Jackson	7.8	2	58	Vernon	7.5	2
26	Jefferson	6.2	1	59	Washington	9.4	3
27	Jefferson Davis	6.1	1	60	Webster	8.4	3
28	La Salle	6.5	1	61	West Baton Roug	ge 7.2	2
29	Lafayette	5.5	1	62	West Carroll	18.6	4
30	Lafourche	5.0	1	63	West Feliciana	7.7	2
31	Lincoln	7.2	2	64	Winn	8.4	3

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): St. Tammany, Lafourche, Terrebonne, Lafayette, Cameron, Plaquemines, Bossier, Jefferson Davis, Jefferson, St. Charles

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Caldwell, St. James, Catahoula, Richland, Concordia, Allen, St. Helena, Tensas, East Carroll, Morehouse, West Carroll

⁵ Vleminckx, K & Smeeding, T. M. (2001). *Child well-being, child poverty, and child policy in modern nations.* Bristol, England. The Policy Press. ⁶ Theodossiou, I. (1998). The effects of low-pay and unemployment on psychological well-being: a logistic regression approach. *Journal of Health Economics*, 17(1): 85-104.

2. Percent of Births to Single Mothers

In Louisiana, greater than 50% of births are to unmarried women, compared to approximately 39% nationally. The percent of births to single mothers are below the national average in six Louisiana parishes. Unmarried mothers generally have lower incomes, lower education levels, and greater dependence on social assistance than do married mothers⁷. Children born to single mothers are more likely to have instability in living arrangements, live in poverty, have social and/or emotional problems, and by adolescence have lower educational attainment^{8,9}.

Figure 2. Parish Level Percent of Births to Single Mothers (2007)



Table 3. Parish Level Percent of Births to Single Mothers (2007)

MAP	P	ERCENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP	:	PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	38.5		32	Livingston	34.4	1
	Louisiana	51.4		33	Madison	73.2	4
1	Acadia	51.2	2	34	Morehouse	64.2	4
2	Allen	48.6	2	35	Natchitoches	61.2	4
3	Ascension	37.7	1	36	Orleans	66.2	4
4	Assumption	56.3	3	37	Ouachita	54.9	3
5	Avoyelles	55.0	3	38	Plaquemines	45.8	1
6	Beauregard	39.3	1	39	Pointe Coupee	61.1	4
7	Bienville	55.2	3	40	Rapides	50.9	2
8	Bossier	39.2	1	41	Red River	62.8	4
9	Caddo	59.5	4	42	Richland	60.3	4
10	Calcasieu	46.6	1	43	Sabine	50.5	2
11	Caldwell	47.1	1	44	St. Bernard	56.2	3
12	Cameron	30.0	1	45	St. Charles	45.8	1
13	Catahoula	51.4	2	46	St. Helena	62.5	4
14	Claiborne	59.8	4	47	St. James	51.0	2
15	Concordia	62.0	4	48	St. John the Bapt	ist 57.7	3
16	DeSoto	53.4	2	49	St. Landry	55.5	3
17	East Baton Rou	ge 54.5	3	50	St. Martin	56.9	3
18	East Carroll	85.0	4	51	St. Mary	62.4	4
19	East Feliciana	57.2	3	52	St. Tammany	34.2	1
20	Evangeline	49.9	2	53	Tangipahoa	54.3	3
21	Franklin	54.5	3	54	Tensas	70.3	4
22	Grant	46.5	1	55	Terrebonne	51.9	2
23	Iberia	63.1	4	56	Union	46.1	1
24	Iberville	65.7	4	57	Vermilion	47.6	2
25	Jackson	47.1	1	58	Vernon	27.7	1
26	Jefferson	51.2	2	59	Washington	54.3	3
27	Jefferson Davis	48.6	2	60	Webster	54.6	3
28	La Salle	33.5	1	61	West Baton Roug	ge 54.2	3
29	Lafayette	46.4	1	62	West Carroll	40.8	1
30	Lafourche	47.8	2	63	West Feliciana	49.6	2
31	Lincoln	48.5	2	64	Winn	47.3	2

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): Vernon, Cameron, La Salle, St. Tammany, Livingston, Ascension, Bossier, Beauregard, West Carroll

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Richland, Pointe Coupee, Natchitoches, Concordia, St. Mary, St. Helena, Red River, Iberia, Morehouse, Iberville, Orleans, Tensas, Madison, East Carroll

⁷ Driscoll, A. K., Hearn, G. K., Evans, V. J., Moore, K. A., Sugland, B. W., & Call, V. (1999). Nonmarital childbearing among adult women. *Journal of Marriage & the Family, 61*, 178-187.

⁸ Aquilino, W. S. (1996). The life course of children born to unmarried mothers: Childhood living arrangements and young adult outcomes. Journal of Marriage & the Family, 58(2), 293-310.

⁹ McLanahan, S. and G.D. Sandefur. (1994). Growing up with a single parent: What hurts, what helps. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

3. Percent of Mothers with Less than High School Education

Maternal education is a significant factor related to child achievement, immunization, poverty and longterm outcomes. Maternal education is one of the most prominent risk factors for disparities across cognitive, health and social-emotional outcomes that appear in the first 24 months of life¹⁰. Furthermore, the financial strain resulting from poor earnings due to lack of education can affect the quality of parenting, the mother's level of stress and maternal mental health, all factors which are associated with behavior problems and poor achievement in preschoolers11

Figure 3. Parish Level Percent of Mothers with Less than High School Degree (2007)



Table 4. Parish Level Percent of Mothers withLess than High School Degree (2007)

MAP	PER	CENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP		PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	NA		32	Livingston	19.8	1
	Louisiana	21.5		33	Madison	37.8	4
1	Acadia	29.0	4	34	Morehouse	31.3	4
2	Allen	25.4	3	35	Natchitoches	24.2	3
3	Ascension	15.9	1	36	Orleans	23.8	3
4	Assumption	30.1	4	37	Ouachita	24.0	3
5	Avoyelles	33.5	4	38	Plaquemines	21.3	2
6	Beauregard	19.0	1	39	Pointe Coupee	23.3	3
7	Bienville	23.4	3	40	Rapides	21.8	2
8	Bossier	15.5	1	41	Red River	22.6	2
9	Caddo	21.0	2	42	Richland	26.9	4
10	Calcasieu	15.7	1	43	Sabine	23.6	3
11	Caldwell	22.3	2	44	St. Bernard	21.5	2
12	Cameron	10.0	1	45	St. Charles	18.4	1
13	Catahoula	30.3	4	46	St. Helena	21.7	2
14	Claiborne	20.6	2	47	St. James	15.0	1
15	Concordia	30.2	4	48	St. John the Bapt	tist 21.8	2
16	DeSoto	22.4	2	49	St. Landry	27.8	4
17	East Baton Rouge	19.9	2	50	St. Martin	19.1	1
18	East Carroll	35.4	4	51	St. Mary	28.1	4
19	East Feliciana	15.2	1	52	St. Tammany	13.2	1
20	Evangeline	31.4	4	53	Tangipahoa	22.8	3
21	Franklin	33.4	4	54	Tensas	28.1	4
22	Grant	21.4	2	55	Terrebonne	25.5	3
23	Iberia	28.3	4	56	Union	23.0	3
24	Iberville	24.1	3	57	Vermilion	24.2	3
25	Jackson	24.2	3	58	Vernon	12.3	1
26	Jefferson	22.8	3	59	Washington	19.7	1
27	Jefferson Davis	21.6	2	60	Webster	21.0	2
28	La Salle	24.8	3	61	West Baton Roug	ge 19.8	1
29	Lafayette	17.6	1	62	West Carroll	33.1	4
30	Lafourche	23.9	3	63	West Feliciana	12.4	1
31	Lincoln	17.1	1	64	Winn	22.7	2

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): Cameron, Vernon, West Feliciana, St. Tammany, St. James, East Feliciana, Bossier, Calcasieu, Ascension, Lincoln, Lafayette

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Assumption, Concordia, Catahoula, Morehouse, Evangeline, West Carroll, Franklin, Avoyelles, East Carroll, Madison

¹⁰ Halle, T., Forry, N., Hair, E., Perper, K., Wandner, L., Wessel, J., & Vick, J. (2009). Disparities in Early Learning and Development: Lessons from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study – Birth Cohort (ECLS-B). Washington, DC: Child Trends.

¹¹ Jackson, A. P., Brooks-Gunn, J., Huang, C. C., Glassman, M. (2000). Single mothers in low-wage jobs: financial strain, parenting, and preschoolers' outcomes. *Child Development*, 71(5): 1409-1423.

4. Percent of Children Ages 0-5 Living in Poverty

Poverty can have a profound impact on setting the life course of a child. Children living in poverty are at higher risk for grade repetition, learning disability, experiencing violent crime, lead poisoning, and emotional problems¹². Children who grow up in extreme poverty are more likely to remain in extreme poverty as adults¹³. In 2008, the federal poverty level was defined as \$21,200 for a family of four¹⁴. As detailed in Table 5, child poverty for children under age 5 in Louisiana was 43% greater than for the United States as a whole with 30% of Louisiana's young children living in poverty compared to 21% nationally.

Figure 4. Parish Level Percent of Children Under Age 5 Living in Poverty (2008)



Table 5. Parish Level Percent of Children Under Age 5Living in Poverty (2008)15

MAP	PERC	ENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP		PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	21.0		32	Livingston	17.8	1
	Louisiana	30.0		33	Madison	58.2	4
1	Acadia	44.2	4	34	Morehouse	44.9	4
2	Allen	26.5	2	35	Natchitoches	47.1	4
3	Ascension	17.1	1	36	Orleans	39.1	4
4	Assumption	38.9	4	37	Ouachita	37.5	3
5	Avoyelles	33.3	2	38	Plaquemines	21.4	1
6	Beauregard	25.0	1	39	Pointe Coupee	37.0	3
7	Bienville	34.5	3	40	Rapides	34.6	3
8	Bossier	27.6	2	41	Red River	39.1	4
9	Caddo	37.7	3	42	Richland	36.7	3
10	Calcasieu	24.4	1	43	Sabine	35.6	3
11	Caldwell	28.7	2	44	St. Bernard	39.9	4
12	Cameron	11.4	1	45	St. Charles	16.5	1
13	Catahoula	46.5	4	46	St. Helena	36.5	3
14	Claiborne	34.1	3	47	St. James	23.8	1
15	Concordia	43.3	4	48	St. John the Bapt	tist 25.2	1
16	DeSoto	36.3	3	49	St. Landry	48.3	4
17	East Baton Rouge	27.2	2	50	St. Martin	27.9	2
18	East Carroll	57.8	4	51	St. Mary	36.3	3
19	East Feliciana	28.7	2	52	St. Tammany	12.1	1
20	Evangeline	30.2	2	53	Tangipahoa	38.2	4
21	Franklin	43.0	4	54	Tensas	28.1	4
22	Grant	29.3	2	55	Terrebonne	27.9	2
23	Iberia	35.3	3	56	Union	35.6	3
24	Iberville	26.8	2	57	Vermilion	25.0	1
25	Jackson	24.8	1	58	Vernon	27.2	2
26	Jefferson	25.8	1	59	Washington	49.1	4
27	Jefferson Davis	24.8	1	60	Webster	35.8	3
28	La Salle	21.8	1	61	West Baton Roug	ge 32.2	2
29	Lafayette	22.1	1	62	West Carroll	26.7	2
30	Lafourche	34.7	3	63	West Feliciana	25.9	2
31	Lincoln	37.6	3	64	Winn	27.3	2

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): Cameron, St. Tammany, St. Charles, Ascension, Livingston, Plaquemines, La Salle, Lafayette, St. James, Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis, Jackson, Beauregard, Vermilion **Bottom Ranking Parishes** (in order to the lowest): Tensas, Franklin, Concordia, Acadia, Morehouse, Catahoula, Natchitoches, St. Landry, Washington, East Carroll, Madison

¹² Duncan, G. J., Brooks-Gunn, J. (2000). Family poverty, welfare reform and child development. Child Development. 71 (1): 188-196.

¹⁴ U.S. Census Bureau available at http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/08poverty.shtml.

¹³ Fass, S., Alden-Dinan, K., & Aratani, Y (2009). Child Poverty and Intergenerational Mobility, A Report. The National Center for Children in Poverty.

¹⁵ Estimates were found through 2006-2008, 3 Year Estimates and the 2000 Census for population areas smaller than 20,000.

5. Median Income as a Percent of the Federal Poverty Level

The median household income differs from the poverty measure because it divides income distribution into two equal groups in a given area, making this measure less sensitive to very high or very low incomes. The median income measure used here indicates the midpoint of household income compared to the federal poverty level. For example, 200% indicates a parish where median income is twice the federal poverty level. Nationally, median income is 253% of the federal poverty level while in Louisiana median income is just twice the federal poverty level (207%). Only five Louisiana parishes have median incomes higher than the U.S. median income. Income disparities are associated with many of the risks described in the poverty indicator section as well as birth outcomes such as infant mortality¹⁶, described in greater detail later in the report.

Figure 5. Parish Level Median Income as a Percent of Federal Poverty Level (2008)



Table 6. Parish Level Median Income as a Percentof Federal Poverty Level (2008)

MAP	PER	CENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP	1	PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	253		32	Livingston	257	1
	Louisiana	207		33	Madison	125	4
1	Acadia	169	3	34	Morehouse	186	3
2	Allen	188	2	35	Natchitoches	141	4
3	Ascension	292	1	36	Orleans	191	2
4	Assumption	210	2	37	Ouachita	186	3
5	Avoyelles	152	4	38	Plaquemines	247	1
6	Beauregard	240	1	39	Pointe Coupee	195	2
7	Bienville	152	4	40	Rapides	192	2
8	Bossier	284	1	41	Red River	153	4
9	Caddo	172	3	42	Richland	175	3
10	Calcasieu	213	2	43	Sabine	170	3
11	Caldwell	166	3	44	St. Bernard	196	2
12	Cameron	242	1	45	St. Charles	295	1
13	Catahoula	152	4	46	St. Helena	160	3
14	Claiborne	152	4	47	St. James	228	1
15	Concordia	145	4	48	St. John the Bap	tist 233	1
16	DeSoto	182	3	49	St. Landry	133	4
17	East Baton Rouge	223	1	50	St. Martin	185	3
18	East Carroll	122	4	51	St. Mary	196	2
19	East Feliciana	156	4	52	St. Tammany	288	1
20	Evangeline	184	3	53	Tangipahoa	182	3
21	Franklin	142	4	54	Tensas	127	4
22	Grant	189	2	55	Terrebonne	237	1
23	Iberia	196	2	56	Union	180	3
24	Iberville	192	2	57	Vermilion	203	2
25	Jackson	175	3	58	Vernon	192	2
26	Jefferson	234	1	59	Washington	152	4
27	Jefferson Davis	196	2	60	Webster	173	3
28	La Salle	189	2	61	West Baton Rou	ge 214	2
29	Lafayette	226	1	62	West Carroll	150	4
30	Lafourche	215	1	63	West Feliciana	243	1
31	Lincoln	157	3	64	Winn	151	4

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): St. Charles, Ascension, St. Tammany, Bossier, Livingston, Plaquemines, West Feliciana, Cameron, Beauregard, Terrebonne, Jefferson, St. John the Baptist

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Red River, Bienville, Claiborne, Washington, Avoyelles, Catahoula, Winn, West Carroll, Concordia, Franklin, Natchitoches, St. Landry, Tensas, Madison, East Carrolll

¹⁶ Lynch, J.W., Kaplan, G.A., Pamuk, E. R., Cohen, R., Heck, K.E., Balfour, J.L., Yen, I.H., (1998). Income inequality and mortality in metropolitan areas in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health, 88*(7): 1074-1080.



Health Factors

6. Percent Low Birth Weight Babies

Low Birth Weight (LBW) indicates babies born weighing less than 2,500 grams or approximately 5.5 pounds¹⁷. Historically, LBW babies have been at increased risk for infant mortality, neuro-developmental impairments, growth failure, behavior problems, and chronic health problems. In recent decades, these LBW babies have had increased survival, but many of the other adverse outcomes have not been completely mitigated^{18, 19}. The percent of low birth weight babies in Louisiana are 36% greater than the national average with 11.3% of babies in Louisiana born at low birth weight compared to 8.3% nationally. Since 2000, the percent of low birth weight babies in Louisiana were at their low in 2000 with 10.3% and a high of 11.5% in 2005²⁰.

Figure 6. Parish Level Percent Low Birth Weight Babies (2007)



Table 7. Parish Level Percent Low Birth Weight Babies (2007)

MAP		PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP]	PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	8.3		32	Livingston	8.2	1
	Louisiana	11.3		33	Madison	16.5	4
1	Acadia	9.8	1	34	Morehouse	9.9	1
2	Allen	10.5	2	35	Natchitoches	11.5	2
3	Ascension	9.0	1	36	Orleans	13.2	3
4	Assumption	10.9	2	37	Ouachita	14.0	4
5	Avoyelles	11.9	3	38	Plaquemines	6.9	1
6	Beauregard	10.1	2	39	Pointe Coupee	13.9	4
7	Bienville	13.4	4	40	Rapides	11.1	2
8	Bossier	13.4	4	41	Red River	12.7	3
9	Caddo	13.8	4	42	Richland	14.0	4
10	Calcasieu	11.1	2	43	Sabine	10.1	2
11	Caldwell	9.1	1	44	St. Bernard	8.4	1
12	Cameron	15.0	4	45	St. Charles	10.7	2
13	Catahoula	11.6	3	46	St. Helena	9.3	1
14	Claiborne	14.7	4	47	St. James	13.9	4
15	Concordia	17.4	4	48	St. John the Bapt	ist 13.0	3
16	DeSoto	13.9	4	49	St. Landry	10.9	2
17	East Baton Rou	ige 12.5	3	50	St. Martin	13.3	4
18	East Carroll	13.4	4	51	St. Mary	11.3	2
19	East Feliciana	12.7	3	52	St. Tammany	8.3	1
20	Evangeline	13.0	3	53	Tangipahoa	10.8	2
21	Franklin	12.7	3	54	Tensas	15.6	4
22	Grant	11.6	3	55	Terrebonne	9.5	1
23	Iberia	11.7	3	56	Union	11.7	3
24	Iberville	12.9	3	57	Vermilion	10.2	2
25	Jackson	13.5	4	58	Vernon	9.4	1
26	Jefferson	9.8	1	59	Washington	11.7	3
27	Jefferson Davis	10.8	2	60	Webster	12.6	3
28	La Salle	10.7	2	61	West Baton Roug	ge 12.9	3
29	Lafayette	9.7	1	62	West Carroll	11.2	2
30	Lafourche	9.1	1	63	West Feliciana	13.3	4
31	Lincoln	10.3	2	64	Winn	7.9	1

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): Plaquemines, Winn, Livingston, St. Tammany, St. Bernard, Ascension, Caldwell, Lafourche, St. Helena, Vernon, Terrebonne

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Orleans, St. Martin, West Feliciana, Bossier, East Carroll, Bienville, Jackson, Caddo, Pointe Coupee, DeSoto, St. James, Ouachita, Richland, Claiborne, Cameron, Tensas, Madison, Concordia

¹⁷LBW was used as an indicator as opposed to prematurity as the latter While prematurity is a commonly utilized indicator of birth outcomes, it is may be inaccurate duesubject to clinical errors in estimation of gestational age. Birth weight can be a marker for prematurity, with LBW corresponding to <37 weeks gestation. Use of LBW also captures those infants who experience intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). IUGR infants are known to be at higher risk for developmental and health issues later in life.

¹⁸ Aylward, G. P., Pfeffer, S.I, Wright, A., Verhulst, S. J. (1989). Outcome studies of low birth weight infants published in the last decade: A meta-analysis. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 115(4): 515-520.

¹⁹ Vohr, B. R. (2007) How should we report early childhood outcomes of very low birth weight infants? *Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 12*(5): 355-362. ²⁰ 2010 Kids Count Data Book. The Annie E. Casey Foundation.

7. Teen Birth Rate (Ages 15-19)

Parenting during the teenage years impacts the development of both the child and the teen parent. Teen parents may have to compromise their education and long-term opportunities in order to care for their child. Poor child outcomes associated with teen parents includes developmental delays, intellectual deficiencies, and behavior problems²¹. The teen birth rate in Louisiana (55.9 per 1,000) is 33% greater than the national rate (42.0 per 1,000). The teen birth rate has fluctuated since 2000 from a high of 62.0 per 1,000 in 2000 to a low of 49.0 per 1,000 in 2005²².

Figure 7. Teen Birth Rate by Parish (2007)



Table 8. Teen Birth Rate by Parish (2007)

MAP		RATE	QUARTILE RANK	MAP		RATE	QUARTILE RANK
	National	42.0		32	Livingston	60.2	2
	Louisiana	55.9		33	Madison	83.7	4
1	Acadia	70.3	3	34	Morehouse	73.9	4
2	Allen	92.9	4	35	Natchitoches	60.4	2
3	Ascension	42.7	1	36	Orleans	48.7	1
4	Assumption	55.0	2	37	Ouachita	56.9	2
5	Avoyelles	83.3	4	38	Plaquemines	33.5	1
6	Beauregard	66.5	3	39	Pointe Coupee	64.1	2
7	Bienville	78.1	4	40	Rapides	61.2	2
8	Bossier	54.8	2	41	Red River	73.1	3
9	Caddo	72.9	3	42	Richland	81.7	4
10	Calcasieu	59.5	2	43	Sabine	54.9	2
11	Caldwell	65.8	3	44	St. Bernard	38.8	1
12	Cameron	19.6	1	45	St. Charles	40.3	1
13	Catahoula	103.2	4	46	St. Helena	54.1	2
14	Claiborne	68.2	3	47	St. James	37.1	1
15	Concordia	73.6	3	48	St. John the Baptist	55.9	2
16	DeSoto	80.0	4	49	St. Landry	71.7	3
17	East Baton Rouge	42.1	1	50	St. Martin	64.9	3
18	East Carroll	115.1	4	51	St. Mary	86.3	4
19	East Feliciana	50.1	1	52	St. Tammany	37.7	1
20	Evangeline	82.1	4	53	Tangipahoa	54.7	2
21	Franklin	80.2	4	54	Tensas	84.7	4
22	Grant	67.5	3	55	Terrebonne	69.8	3
23	Iberia	79.4	4	56	Union	63.1	2
24	Iberville	60.5	2	57	Vermilion	64.9	3
25	Jackson	63.1	2	58	Vernon	68.3	3
26	Jefferson	47.6	1	59	Washington	83.4	4
27	Jefferson Davis	65.6	3	60	Webster	73.3	3
28	La Salle	69.4	3	61	West Baton Rouge	47.1	1
29	Lafayette	43.8	1	62	West Carroll	49.6	1
30	Lafourche	55.3	2	63	West Feliciana	54.0	1
31	Lincoln	28.7	1	64	Winn	75.2	4

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest):Cameron, Lincoln, Plaquemines, St. James, St. Tammany, St. Bernard, St. Charles, East Baton Rouge, Ascension, Lafayette

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Richland, Evangeline, Avoyelles, Washington, Madison, Tensas, St. Mary, Allen, Catahoula, East Carrollw

²¹ Coren, E. & Barlow, J. (2001). *Individual and group-based parenting programmes for improving psychosocial outcomes for teenage parents and their children*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews: Issue 3, John Wiley & Sons.

²² 2010 Kids Count Data Book. The Annie E. Casey Foundation.

8. Infant Mortality Rate

Infant mortality rate is defined as the number of deaths among children less than one year of age per 1,000 live births. Since 2000, the infant mortality rate in Louisiana has fluctuated from a low of 9.0 per 1,000 in 2000 to a high of 10.5 per 1,000 in 2004²³. The most recent data in 2007 shows an increase of 8% since the low in 2000. The infant mortality rate in Louisiana (9.7 per 1,000) is 45% greater than the national average (6.7 per 1,000)²⁴.

Figure 8. Infant Mortality Rate by Parish (2007)



Table 9. Infant Mortality Rate by Parish²⁵ (2007²⁶)

MAP		RATE	QUARTILE RANK	MAP		RATE	QUARTILE RANK
	National	6.7		32	Livingston	5.5	1
	Louisiana	9.7		33	Madison		
1	Acadia	8.8	2	34	Morehouse	7.2	1
2	Allen	7.3	1	35	Natchitoches	9.0	2
3	Ascension	8.5	2	36	Orleans	9.3	2
4	Assumption	10.5	3	37	Ouachita	13.8	4
5	Avoyelles	9.5	2	38	Plaquemines	5.3	1
6	Beauregard	7.5	1	39	Pointe Coupee	11.5	3
7	Bienville	12.0	3	40	Rapides	9.2	2
8	Bossier	8.5	2	41	Red River	10.8	3
9	Caddo	12.6	4	42	Richland	9.6	3
10	Calcasieu	10.0	3	43	Sabine	9.5	2
11	Caldwell			44	St. Bernard	9.3	2
12	Cameron			45	St. Charles	8.5	2
13	Catahoula			46	St. Helena	23.0	4
14	Claiborne	22.9	4	47	St. James	6.6	1
15	Concordia	13.3	4	48	St. John the Baptist	8.5	2
16	DeSoto	10.8	3	49	St. Landry	10.6	3
17	East Baton Rouge	10.8	3	50	St. Martin	13.0	4
18	East Carroll	15.2	4	51	St. Mary	4.9	1
19	East Feliciana	10.5	4	52	St. Tammany	6.7	1
20	Evangeline	10.5	3	53	Tangipahoa	7.7	1
21	Franklin	12.0	3	54	Tensas		
22	Grant	17.4	4	55	Terrebonne	9.0	2
23	Iberia	9.6	3	56	Union	9.0	2
24	Iberville	6.0	1	57	Vermilion	8.8	2
25	Jackson			58	Vernon	7.5	1
26	Jefferson	8.5	2	59	Washington	6.2	1
27	Jefferson Davis	13.2	4	60	Webster	13.0	4
28	La Salle			61	West Baton Rouge	21.6	4
29	Lafayette	11.3	3	62	West Carroll	12.8	4
30	Lafourche	8.0	1	63	West Feliciana	18.3	4
31	Lincoln	12.2	3	64	Winn	8.2	1

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): St. Mary, Plaquemines, Livingston, Iberville, Washington, St. James, St. Tammany, Morehouse, Allen, Vernon, Beauregard, Tangipahoa

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Jefferson Davis, Concordia, Ouachita, East Feliciana, Grant, West Feliciana, East Carroll, West Baton Rouge, Claiborne, St. Helena

²³ 2010 Kids Count Data Book. The Annie E. Casey Foundation.

- ²⁵ Note where "--" appears, there were less than 5 infant deaths in the parish and, therefore, too small a number to report for confidentiality reasons
- ²⁶ Data reported for 2007 is based on a three year average from 2005-2007.

²⁴ Note that the United States ranks 33rd in the world in infant mortality rate according to the United Nations.

9. Percent of Uninsured Children Ages 0-5

The results from the most recent Louisiana Health Insurance Survey show a decline in uninsured children (under age 19) from 11% in 2003 to 5% in 2009. This decline reflects strong outreach efforts on the part of the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals to enroll children in Medicaid and LaCHIP. Children from 0-5 are more likely than other age groups to be covered by either private of public health insurance. Information provided here is specific to children under age 5. The data for this indicator is from the 2009 Louisiana Health Insurance Survey conducted by LSU's Public Policy Research Lab and are based on survey results of 10,000 Louisiana households. Secondary calculations were necessary to estimate the percent of uninsured children under 5 at the parish level.

Figure 9. Percent of Uninsured Children Under Age 5 by Parish (2009)



Table 10. Percent of Uninsured Children Under Age 5 by Parish (2009) Parish (2009)

MAP	PERC	CENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP		PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National			32	Livingston	2.6	1
	Louisiana	3.8		33	Madison	2.1	1
1	Acadia	2.8	1	34	Morehouse	2.1	1
2	Allen	5.1	4	35	Natchitoches	4.4	3
3	Ascension	2.5	1	36	Orleans	6.0	4
4	Assumption	3.0	2	37	Ouachita	3.3	2
5	Avoyelles	5.8	4	38	Plaquemines	6.8	4
6	Beauregard	4.3	3	39	Pointe Coupee	2.3	1
7	Bienville	4.7	3	40	Rapides	3.8	3
8	Bossier	7.0	4	41	Red River	5.7	4
9	Caddo	3.6	2	42	Richland	2.1	1
10	Calcasieu	3.8	3	43	Sabine	6.8	4
11	Caldwell	3.3	2	44	St. Bernard	3.7	2
12	Cameron	8.2	4	45	St. Charles	1.5	1
13	Catahoula	3.8	2	46	St. Helena	5.2	4
14	Claiborne	5.1	4	47	St. James	3.5	2
15	Concordia	6.1	4	48	St. John the Bapt	tist 4.6	3
16	DeSoto	3.6	2	49	St. Landry	2.8	1
17	East Baton Rouge	3.9	3	50	St. Martin	4.2	3
18	East Carroll	2.4	1	51	St. Mary	4.4	3
19	East Feliciana	4.5	3	52	St. Tammany	2.3	1
20	Evangeline	2.7	1	53	Tangipahoa	2.7	1
21	Franklin	1.8	1	54	Tensas	5.1	3
22	Grant	7.7	4	55	Terrebonne	4.7	3
23	Iberia	3.2	2	56	Union	3.8	2
24	Iberville	3.0	2	57	Vermilion	3.8	3
25	Jackson	2.9	2	58	Vernon	3.0	2
26	Jefferson	4.1	3	59	Washington	4.0	3
27	Jefferson Davis	8.3	4	60	Webster	5.3	4
28	La Salle	3.2	2	61	West Baton Roug	ge 3.5	2
29	Lafayette	2.8	1	62	West Carroll	1.8	1
30	Lafourche	3.1	2	63	West Feliciana	4.7	3
31	Lincoln	5.5	4	64	Winn	8.9	4

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): St. Charles, West Carroll, Franklin, Morehouse, Madison, Richland, St. Tammany, Pointe Coupee, East Carroll, Ascension

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Orleans, Concordia, Plaquemines, Sabine, Bossier, Grant, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Winn

Early Childhood Risk in Louisiana



Education Factors

10. Pre-Literacy Skills Measured at Kindergarten Entry

The Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills²⁷ (DIBELS) is a rapid assessment of pre-literacy skills to determine risk for later literacy outcomes. The assessment focuses on five components that influence reading skills: Phonemic Awareness, Alphabetic Principle, Accuracy and Fluency with Text, Vocabulary, and Comprehension^{28, 29}. DIBELS was designed based on research indicating that deficits in any of these areas may lead to poor reading outcomes as the child develops^{30, 31}.

This indicator is a measure of students at kindergarten entry, and is conducted by kindergarten teachers in public school districts across the state. Fall kindergarten DIBELS have two domains: Initial Sound Fluency and Letter Naming Fluency. Student scores in these domains are compiled into a composite instructional recommendation of: benchmark, strategic intervention, and intensive intervention. This indicator shows the percentage of students who scored in need of intensive intervention after their fall kindergarten DIBELS assessment. It should be noted that the DIBELS assessments that comprise this indicator come only from the public schools and therefore do not include private or parochial schools. In addition, for the period reported here (Fall 2009), not all public schools used the DIBELS.



Table 11. Percent of Children Scoring "Intensive Intervention"on the DIBELS at Kindergarten Entry by Parish (Fall 2009)

	o .				A .		
	MAH	PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK		I MAH	PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	NA		32	Livingston	14.9	2
	Louisiana	19.2		33	Madison	19.1	3
1	Acadia	18.0	2	34	Morehouse	15.3	2
2	Allen	11.4	1	35	Natchitoches	24.2	4
3	Ascension	14.7	1	36	Orleans	18.6	4
4	Assumption	19.3	3	37	Ouachita	18.1	2
5	Avoyelles	24.5	4	38	Plaquemines	19.2	3
6	Beauregard	20.2	3	39	Pointe Coupee	21.2	3
7	Bienville	17.8	2	40	Rapides	20.6	3
8	Bossier	23.1	3	41	Red River	13.0	1
9	Caddo	19.8	3	42	Richland	33.3	4
10	Calcasieu	16.1	2	43	Sabine	19.3	3
11	Caldwell	23.1	4	44	St. Bernard	15.3	2
12	Cameron	11.1	1	45	St. Charles	21.4	3
13	Catahoula	23.1	3	46	St. Helena	23.3	4
14	Claiborne	11.5	1	47	St. James	10.9	1
15	Concordia	15.6	2	48	St. John the Bapt	ist 15.4	2
16	DeSoto	16.7	2	49	St. Landry	25.7	4
17	East Baton Rou	1ge 18.3	2	50	St. Martin	20.7	3
18	East Carroll	9.2	1	51	St. Mary	27.1	4
19	East Feliciana	19.5	3	52	St. Tammany	16.0	2
20	Evangeline	18.6	2	53	Tangipahoa	23.9	4
21	Franklin	14.1	1	54	Tensas	16.7	2
22	Grant	28.3	4	55	Terrebonne	23.9	4
23	Iberia	23.4	4	56	Union	23.8	4
24	Iberville	12.6	1	57	Vermilion	12.4	1
25	Jackson	23.6	4	58	Vernon	20.6	3
26	Jefferson	26.6	4	59	Washington	15.0	1
27	Jefferson Davis	14.1	1	60	Webster	18.1	2
28	La Salle	14.5	1	61	West Baton Roug	ge 13.2	1
29	Lafayette	31.8	4	62	West Carroll	21.0	3
30	Lafourche	15.6	2	63	West Feliciana	8.6	1
31	Lincoln	10.8	1	64	Winn	20.7	3

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): West Feliciana, East Carroll, Lincoln, St. James, Cameron, Allen, Claiborne, Vermilion, Iberville, Red River

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Catahoula, Bossier, Caldwell, St. Helena, Iberia, Jackson, Union, Tangipahoa, Terrebonne, Natchitoches, Avoyelles, St. Landry, Jefferson, St. Mary, Grant, Lafayette, Richland

²⁸ Adams, M. J., Foorman, B. R., Lundberg, I., & Beeler, T. (1998). The elusive phoneme: Why phonemic awareness is so important and how to help children develop it. *American Educator*, 22(1-2), 18-29.

²⁷ Good, R. H., & Kaminiski, R. The University of Oregon Center of Teaching and Learning. Dynamic Indicators of Early Learning.

²⁹ Smith S. B., Simmons, D. C., & Kame'enui, E. J. (1998). Phonological awareness: Instructional and curricular basics and implications. In D. C. Simmons & E. J. Kame'enui (eds.), What reading research tells us about children with diverse learning needs: Bases and basics. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

³⁰ Foorman, B. R., Francis, D. J., Shaywitz, S. E., Shaywitz, B. A., & Fletcher, J. M. (1997). The case for early reading intervention. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

³¹ National Reading Panel (2000). Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction [on-line]. Available: http://www.nichd.nih.gov/publications/nrp/smallbook.htm.

Table 12. Percent of Children Attending Publicly Funded Pre-K,Head Start, Early Head Start or High Quality Child Care by Parish (2009)

MAP	PERC	CENT	QUARTILE RANK	MAP		PERCENT	QUARTILE RANK
	National	NA		32	Livingston	12.6	4
	Louisiana	17.1		33	Madison	27.8	1
1	Acadia	19.7	3	34	Morehouse	31.9	1
2	Allen	15.4	4	35	Natchitoches	24.2	2
3	Ascension	9.2	4	36	Orleans	7.4	4
4	Assumption	28.1	1	37	Ouachita	22.1	3
5	Avoyelles	20.7	3	38	Plaquemines	17.3	3
6	Beauregard	12.7	4	39	Pointe Coupee	24.2	2
7	Bienville	23.9	2	40	Rapides	24.2	2
8	Bossier	12.4	4	41	Red River	21.4	3
9	Caddo	22.7	2	42	Richland	20.9	3
10	Calcasieu	15.6	3	43	Sabine	21.6	3
11	Caldwell	28.5	1	44	St. Bernard	23.3	2
12	Cameron	46.8	1	45	St. Charles	15.4	4
13	Catahoula	18.3	3	46	St. Helena	37.3	1
14	Claiborne	23.6	2	47	St. James	36.0	1
15	Concordia	25.7	2	48	St. John the Bapti	st 9.1	4
16	DeSoto	30.2	1	49	St. Landry	20.8	3
17	East Baton Rouge	14.6	4	50	St. Martin	27.1	1
18	East Carroll	28.2	1	51	St. Mary	24.0	2
19	East Feliciana	11.0	4	52	St. Tammany	13.9	4
20	Evangeline	21.6	3	53	Tangipahoa	14.6	4
21	Franklin	9.7	4	54	Tensas	25.6	2
22	Grant	15.8	3	55	Terrebonne	20.6	3
23	Iberia	18.3	3	56	Union	6.2	4
24	Iberville	24.3	2	57	Vermilion	21.3	3
25	Jackson	25.1	2	58	Vernon	18.9	3
26	Jefferson	12.8	4	59	Washington	26.7	1
27	Jefferson Davis	22.7	2	60	Webster	22.3	3
28	La Salle	6.6	4	61	West Baton Rouge	e 23.5	2
29	Lafayette	27.1	1	62	West Carroll	28.5	1
30	Lafourche	52.6	1	63	West Feliciana	43.7	1
31	Lincoln	22.8	2	64	Winn	23.4	2

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): Lafourche, Cameron, West Feliciana, St. Helena, St. James, Morehouse, DeSoto, West Carroll, Caldwell, East Carroll, Assumption

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): St. Tammany, Jefferson, Beauregard, Livingston, Bossier, East Feliciana, Franklin, Ascension, St. John the Baptist, Orleans, La Salle, Union

11. Percent of Children in Publicly Funded Pre-K, Head Start, Early Head Start or High Quality Child Care

There is broad research supporting high quality early care and education as an effective intervention to reduce risk for later adverse outcomes. Randomized control trials, considered the gold standard of research methodology, have been conducted on early care and education programs. When the programs are high quality, positive effects have been documented into adolescence and adulthood. The impacts of high quality early care and education as shown by the research include: increases in pro-social behavior, school readiness, entry into the workforce, earnings and academic achievement, and a decrease in crime. Studies of the economic impact of such early care and education interventions range up to a seventeen to one return on initial investment^{32, 33}.

Figure 11. Percent of Children Attending Publicly Funded Pre-K, Head Start, Early Head Start of High Quality Child Care by Parish (2009)



³² Isaacs, J. B. (2008). Impact of Early Childhood Programs. Brookings Institution & First Focus.

³³ Cunha, F., & Heckman, J. J. (2010). Investing in Our Young People. NBER Working Paper Series, Vol. w16201.

For this report, publicly funded pre-k includes LA 4, Title 1 Preschool, Starting Points, Special Education Pre-School, 8(g) Early Childhood Program, Education Excellence Fund, Even Start, Nonpublic School Early Childhood Development, or locally funded programs. Head Start, Early Head Start and child care centers with at least three stars or above in the Quality Start rating system, are also included in this indicator. The total enrolled in all of these settings is then divided by the population of children under age five in each parish.



Overall Risk

Figure 12 shows the overall rank by parish grouped into one of four levels based on the average score. Table 13 shows the actual average score for each parish.

Figure 12. December 2009 -Parish Level of Overall Risk



Table 13. December 2009 - Parish Level Overall Risk(Best Possible Score - 1, Worst Possible Score - 4)

		AVERAGE SCORE	RISK CATEGORY			AVERAGE SCORE	RISK CATEGORY
1	Acadia	2.36	3	33	Madison	3.30	4
2	Allen	2.64	3	34	Morehouse	2.64	3
3	Ascension	1.36	2	35	Natchitoches	3.00	3
4	Assumption	2.73	3	36	Orleans	3.18	4
5	Avoyelles	3.27	4	37	Ouachita	2.82	3
6	Beauregard	2.09	3	38	Plaquemines	1.73	2
7	Bienville	3.09	4	39	Pointe Coupee	2.64	3
8	Bossier	2.27	3	40	Rapides	2.18	3
9	Caddo	2.91	3	41	Red River	3.18	4
10	Calcasieu	1.91	2	42	Richland	3.36	4
11	Caldwell	2.30	3	43	Sabine	2.64	3
12	Cameron	1.60	2	44	St. Bernard	2.27	3
13	Catahoula	3.30	4	45	St. Charles	1.64	2
14	Claiborne	3.09	4	46	St. Helena	2.91	3
15	Concordia	3.5	4	47	St. James	1.73	2
16	DeSoto	2.64	3	48	St. John the Baptist	2.36	3
17	East Baton Rouge	2.27	3	49	St. Landry	3.09	4
18	East Carroll	3.18	4	50	St. Martin	2.64	3
19	East Feliciana	2.73	3	51	St. Mary	2.91	3
20	Evangeline	2.73	3	52	St. Tammany	1.36	2
21	Franklin	3.00	3	53	Tangipahoa	2.73	3
22	Grant	2.82	3	54	Tensas	3.50	4
23	Iberia	3.09	4	55	Terrebonne	2.27	3
24	Iberville	2.36	3	56	Union	2.73	3
25	Jackson	2.40	3	57	Vermilion	2.18	3
26	Jefferson	2.09	3	58	Vernon	1.91	2
27	Jefferson Davis	2.18	3	59	Washington	2.55	3
28	La Salle	2.00	2	60	Webster	3.00	3
29	Lafayette	1.45	2	61	West Baton Rouge	2.09	3
30	Lafourche	1.73	2	62	West Carroll	2.45	3
31	Lincoln	2.18	3	63	West Feliciana	2.00	2
32	Livingston	1.55	2	64	Winn	2.55	3

Top Ranking Parishes (in order from the highest): Ascension, St. Tammany, Lafayette, Livingston, Cameron, St. Charles, Lafourche, Plaquemines, St. James, Calcasieu, Vernon, La Salle, West Feliciana

Bottom Ranking Parishes (in order to the lowest): Bienville, Claiborne, Iberia, St. Landry, East Carroll, Orleans, Red River, Avoyelles, Catahoula, Madison, Richland, Tensas, Concordia

Overall Risk (continued)

While certain parishes, and even regions, in the state are higher risk environments for young children, it should be noted that 92% of all Louisiana parishes (59 out of 64) are rated as "High Risk" on at least one of the indicators and 100% of the parishes are rated as "Moderate-High Risk" on at least one of the indicators. Even the top five ranking lowest risk parishes, Ascension, St. Tammany, Lafayette, Livingston and Cameron, have at least one indicator in the High Risk category. Similarly, each of the five highest risk parishes, Concordia, Tensas, Richland, Madison and Catahoula, had at least two indicators in the Low and/or Low-Moderate Risk category (except for Richland which had only one). Therefore, all of the parishes in the state, regardless of their current ranking, have strengths from which to build and vulnerabilities that need to be addressed.

Economic Risk

Five of the risk indicators measure a type of economic risk facing young children. These economic indicators are the percent unemployed, the percent of births to single mothers, the percent of mothers with less than a high school education, the percent of children under age 5 living below poverty, and the median family income as a percent of the federal poverty level. Thirty-three of Louisiana's 64 parishes (52%) ranked in the High Risk category on at least one of these five economic risk factors. In fact, four parishes (Concordia, East Carroll, Madison, and Tensas) were in the High Risk group on all five of the indicators.

As to specific indicators, only five parishes have a median income that is at least twice the poverty level (St. Charles, Ascension, St. Tammany, Bossier, and Livingston). Only four parishes had less young children in poverty (as a percentage) than the national average (St. Tammany, St. Charles, Ascension, and Livingston). For Louisiana as a whole, the average percentage of children under age 5 in poverty (30%) is 43% greater than the average in the United States (21%). Approximately one in five Louisiana parishes have two times more children in poverty (as a percentage) than the national average. Since 1999, births to single mothers in Louisiana have increased 14.9% and today only six parishes have a lower percent of births to single mothers than the national average (Vernon, Cameron, La Salle, St. Tammany, Livingston and Ascension).

Health Risk

Four of the risk indicators measure a type of health risk facing young children. These health indicators are the percent of low birth weight babies, the teen birth rate, the infant mortality rate, and the percent of uninsured children. Thirty-nine parishes (61%) are at High Risk on at least one of the health indicators. However, no parish scored in the High Risk category on all four health indicators, and even the High Risk parishes often scored in the Low Risk category on at least one indicator.

On specific indicators, only three parishes had a percentage of low birth weight babies that was better than the national average (Plaquemines, Winn and Livingston). For the state as a whole, Louisiana was 36% higher than the national average (11.3% vs. 8.3%). Seven parishes had a teen birth rate at or better than the national average (Cameron, Lincoln, Plaquemines, St. James, St. Tammany, St. Bernard, and St. Charles). Overall, Louisiana's teen birth rate is 33% greater than the national average (56 per 1,000 vs. 42 per 1,000). Similarly, only seven parishes (St. Mary, Plaquemines, Livingston, Iberville, Washington, St. James and St. Tammany) were at or better than the national average for infant mortality with Louisiana's rate 45% greater than the national average (9.7 per 1,000 vs. 6.7 per 1,000).

Education Risk

Two of the risk indicators measure a type of education risk facing young children. These education indicators are the pre-literacy skills measured at kindergarten entry and the percent of children in publicly funded pre-k, Head Start, Early Head Start or high quality child care. Overall, 28 parishes (44%) scored in the High Risk category on at least one of these two indicators and 4 parishes (Jefferson, Orleans, Tangipahoa and Union) scored in the High Risk category for both. Six parishes scored in the High Risk category on one of the indicators and the Low Risk category for the other (Allen, Ascension, Caldwell, Lafayette, La Salle, and St. Helena).

Limitations and Future Directions

Computing the Overall Risk Level as an average of each of the individual indicators assumes each of the individual indicators has the same weight in the overall well-being of children. Of course, the reality may be that some of the risk indicators serve as stronger predictors of overall risk than others. However, there is substantial research to show that the number of risk factors is more strongly associated with poor outcomes than any one specific risk factor. For this report, it is important to recognize that all risk factors are treated equally.

A future addition to this report is forthcoming that examines the reach of early childhood programs and whether these services match the needs in each parish. These two sources of information together, the risk and reach, will provide parish and state leaders with valuable tools to make more informed decisions regarding the challenges facing children 0-5 in our state, and the resources being dedicated and utilized to support these young children and their families.

Conclusion

Table 14. Young Children by Risk Level

NUMBER OF PARISHES	AVERAGE SCORE RANGE	NUMBER OF CHILDREN (0-5)	PERCENT OF CHILDREN	RISK CATEGORY
0	1	0	0.0%	Low
13	1.01-2	80,299	25.8%	Low-Moderate
38	2.01-3	187,322	60.3%	Moderate-High
13	3.01+	43,095	13.9%	High
64		310,716		

There are approximately 310,716 children under age five in Louisiana (see Appendix 1 for population by parish). Based on the methodology to establish risk utilized in Pennsylvania, no children in Louisiana are in parishes that score in the Low Risk category (an overall score of 1). Of the 64 parishes, 13 (20.3%) score in the Low-Moderate Risk category and 80,299 young children (25.8%) live in these parishes (see Table 14). At increased risk are the 38 parishes (59.4%) that score in the Moderate-High Risk category where 187,322 young children (60.3%) live. Finally, 13 parishes (20.3%) are in the High Risk category where 40,658 young children (13.9%) live. Therefore, 230,417 of Louisiana's young children (74.2%) are growing up in Moderate-High or High Risk parishes (51 of the 64 parishes). Again, risk is in comparison to other parishes in the state and is not a statement of risk compared to any other county or state in the country.

Good data is a critical tool that can help to inform programmatic and investment decisions regarding the distribution of resources that support Louisiana's young children. This report provides parish level data on 11 indicators of early childhood well-being that influence a child's ability to be ready for school. This analysis reveals that the majority of Louisiana's children are being raised in Moderate-High Risk or High Risk parishes and that almost all of the state's parishes have at least one High Risk indicator.

Appendix 1

Population of Children under Age 5 by Parish

POPULATION UNDER AGE 5								
National	20,672,826	Livingston	9,208					
Louisiana	310,716	Madison	949					
Acadia	4,688	Morehouse	1,881					
Allen	1,692	Natchitoches	2,933					
Ascension	8,522	Orleans	19,461					
Assumption	1,318	Ouachita	11,382					
Avoyelles	3,112	Plaquemines	1,357					
Beauregard	2,380	Pointe Coupee	1,487					
Bienville	918	Rapides	9,707					
Bossier	8,645	Red River	682					
Caddo	18,227	Richland	1,470					
Calcasieu	13,630	Sabine	1,669					
Caldwell	630	St. Bernard	2,576					
Cameron	284	St. Charles	3,455					
Catahoula	736	St. Helena	541					
Claiborne	837	St. James	1,523					
Concordia	1,329	St. John the Baptist	3,586					
DeSoto	1,870	St. Landry	6,970					
East Baton Rouge	29,539	St. Martin	3,727					
East Carroll	647	St. Mary	3,762					
East Feliciana	1,291	St. Tammany	15,436					
Evangeline	2,638	Tangipahoa	9,102					
Franklin	1,419	Tensas	374					
Grant	1,293	Terrebonne	8,442					
Iberia	5,610	Union	1,587					
Iberville	2,230	Vermilion	3,980					
Jackson	973	Vernon	4,170					
Jefferson	28,144	Washington	3,249					
Jefferson Davis	2,234	Webster	2,549					
La Salle	884	West Baton Rouge	1,634					
Lafayette	15,353	West Carroll	665					
Lafourche	5,919	West Feliciana	558					
Lincoln	2,705	Winn	947					

Appendix 2

Summary Quartile Rank of Individual Risk Indicators

	PARISH IN OVERALL RANK ORDER (LOWEST RISK TO HIGHEST RISK)	UNEMPLOYMENT	BIRTHS TO SINGLE MOTHERS	MOTHERS WITH LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION	AGES 0-5 IN POVERTY	MEDIAN INCOME	LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	TEEN BIRTH	INFANT MORTALITY	AGES 0-5 UNINSURED	PRE-LITERACY SKILLS	ATTENDING PRE-K, HS/EHS OR HQ CHILD CARE
	Ascension	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	4
	St. Tammany	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4
	Lafayette	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	4	1
K	Livingston	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	4
S RIG	Cameron	1	1	1	1	1	4	1		4	1	1
ATE	St. Charles	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	4
DER	Lafourche	1	2	3	3	1	1	2	1	2	2	1
IOM	Plaquemines	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	3
MC	St. James	4	2	1	1	1	4	1	1	2	1	1
Γ	Calcasieu	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	2	3
	Vernon	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	1	2	3	3
	La Salle	1	1	3	1	2	2	3		2	1	4
	West Feliciana	2	2	1	2	1	4	1	4	3	1	1
	Beauregard	3	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	3	3	4
	Jefferson	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	4
	West Baton Rouge	2	3	1	2	2	3	1	4	2	1	2
	Jefferson Davis	1	2	2	1	2	2	3	4	4	1	2
	Lincoln	2	2	1	3	3	2	1	3	4	1	2
	Rapides	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	2
SK	Vermilion	2	2	3	1	2	2	3	2	3	1	3
HRJ	Bossier	1	1	1	2	1	4	2	2	4	3	4
HIG	East Baton Rouge	1	3	2	2	1	3	1	3	3	2	4
LE-I	St. Bernard	4	3	2	4	2	1	1	2	2	2	2
ERA'	Terrebonne	1	2	3	2	1	1	3	2	3	4	3
ODI	Caldwell	4	1	2	2	3	1	3		2	4	1
M	Acadia	1	2	4	4	3	1	3	2	1	2	3
	Iberville	4	4	3	2	2	3	2	1	2	1	2
	St. John the Baptist	3	3	2	1	1	3	2	2	3	2	4
	Jackson	2	1	3	1	3	4	2		2	4	2
	West Carroll	4	1	4	2	4	2	1	4	1	3	1
	Washington	3	3	1	4	4	3	4	1	3	1	1
	Winn	3	2	2	2	4	1	4	1	4	3	2

	PARISH IN OVERALL RANK ORDER (LOWEST RISK TO HIGHEST RISK)	UNEMPLOYMENT	BIRTHS TO SINGLE MOTHERS	MOTHERS WITH LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION	AGES 0-5 IN POVERTY	MEDIAN INCOME	LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	TEEN BIRTH	INFANT MORTALITY	AGES 0-5 UNINSURED	PRE-LITERACY SKILLS	ATTENDING PRE-K, HS/EHS OR HQ CHILD CARE
	Allen	4	2	3	2	2	2	4	1	4	1	4
	De Soto	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	3	2	2	1
	Morehouse	4	4	4	4	3	1	4	1	1	2	1
	Pointe Coupee	2	4	3	3	2	4	2	3	1	3	2
	Sabine	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	4	3	3
	St. Martin	2	3	1	2	3	4	3	4	3	3	1
SK	Assumption	4	3	4	4	2	2	2	3	2	3	1
H RJ	East Feliciana	2	3	1	2	4	3	1	4	3	3	4
DIF	Evangeline	3	2	4	2	3	3	4	3	1	2	3
TE-I	Tangipahoa	3	3	3	4	3	2	2	1	1	4	4
ERA	Union	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	4	4
ODI	Grant	3	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	3
Μ	Ouachita	2	3	3	3	3	4	2	4	2	2	3
	Caddo	2	4	2	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	2
	St. Helena	4	4	2	3	3	1	2	4	4	4	1
	St. Mary	3	4	4	3	2	2	4	1	3	4	2
	Franklin	2	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	1	1	4
	Natchitoches	3	4	3	4	4	2	2	2	3	4	2
	Webster	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	2	3
	Bienville	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	2	2
	Claiborne	3	4	2	3	4	4	3	4	4	1	2
	Iberia	2	4	4	3	2	3	4	3	2	4	3
	St. Landry	3	3	4	4	4	2	3	3	1	4	3
	East Carroll	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	1	1
NSIX	Orleans	4	4	3	4	2	3	1	2	4	4	4
ΞΗF	Red River	4	4	2	4	4	3	3	3	4	1	3
HIC	Avoyelles	3	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	4	4	3
	Catahoula	4	2	4	4	4	3	4		2	3	3
	Madison	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		1	3	1
	Richland	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	1	4	3
	Tensas	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		3	2	2
	Concordia	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	2	2

Appendix 3

INDICATOR	DATA SOURCE	DESCRIPTION
Unemployment Rate (December 2009)	National Unemployment: DLS Web site Parish level unemployment data are from December 2009 and are available from the Louisiana Workforce Commission (www. laworks.net)	National unemployment data can be found at the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the U.S. Department of Labor. Parish level unemployment data are from the Louisiana Workforce Commission, a full report can be found at http://www.laworks.net/Downloads/ LMI/Data_for_December_2009.pdf
Percent of Births to Single Mothers (2007)	LA Department of Health and Hospitals – Office of Public Health, Vital Statistics	Marriage Status: derived from the birth certificate data – Number of live births to unmarried women of all live births. (State and National data available on the Annie E. Casey Foundation Kids Count Data Center, most recent and complete data available through OPH-MCH Epidemiology program.) The State Center for Health Statistics data for 1999 births to unmarried women for the state can be found at: http://www.dhh.louisiana.gov/offices/miscdocs/docs-275/ recordsstatistics/statistics/1999datatables.htm
Percent Mothers with less than High School Degree (2007)	LA Department of Health and Hospitals – Office of Public Health, Vital Statistics	Maternal education – derived from birth certificate data – Number of live births to women who had not completed high school of all live births. (National data not available, most recent and complete data available through OPH-MCH Epidemiology program.)
Percent of Children Under 5 Years Who are at Poverty Level (2006-2008 ACS & 2000 Census)	National Data, State and Parish Level Data is available at the Census Web site	Percentage of families with related children under 5 years whose income in the past 12 months is below the poverty level. Note: estimates were found through 2006-2008, 3 Year Estimates and the 2000 Census for population areas smaller than 20,000.
Median Income as a Percent of FPL (\$20,617 - 3 Year Average) (2006-2008 ACS & 2000 Census)	State and National Data: Median Family Income State and Parish at the Census Web site Poverty Limit Data – U.S. Department of Health and Human Services – Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation Web site	The median household income is the midpoint in the range of household income for those surveyed for years 2006-2008 divided by the three year average of Federal Poverty Limits for families of three. This measure shows the median income relative to poverty limit. Note: Estimates were found through the 2006-2008, 3 Year Estimates and the 2000 Census for population areas smaller than 20,000
Percent Low Birth Weight (2007)	LA Department of Health and Hospitals – Office of Public Health, VitalStatistics	Percent of all babies who were born weighing under 2,500 grams (about 5.5 pounds) are considered low birth weight. (Data Available on the Annie E. Casey Foundation Kids Count Data Center, most recent and complete data available through OPH-MCH Epidemiology program.)

INDICATOR	DATA SOURCE	DESCRIPTION
Teen Birth Rate 2007 (Births to Ages 15-19 per 1,000 15-19 Year Olds)	LA Department of Health and Hospitals – Office of Public Health, Vital Statistics	Teen birth rate is the number of live births to women ages 15-19, per 1,000 females ages 15-19 years. (Data Available on the Annie E. Casey Foundation Kids Count Data Center, most recent and complete data available through OPH-MCH Epidemiology program.)
Infant Mortality Rate: per 1,000 Children 0-1 (2005-2007)	LA Department of Health and Hospitals – Office of Public Health, Vital Statistics	Number of deaths among children under one year of age per 1,000 live births. (Data Available on the Annie E. Casey Foundation Kids Count Data Center, most recent and complete data available through OPH-MCH Epidemiology program.) An infant mortality rate may not be possible to determine in some parishes if they have too few births, or deaths, per year.
Estimated % of 0-5 Population Uninsured 2009 Estimate	National Data is available at the Annie E. Casey Foundation Data Center Web site. State and Parish Level Data are based on the 2009 Louisiana Health Insurance Survey conducted by LSU's Public Policy Research Lab on behalf of the LA Department of Health and Hospitals. Full report can be found at http://www.dhh. louisiana.gov/reports.asp?Detail=732	The data for this indicator is from the 2009 Louisiana Health Insurance Survey conducted by LSU's Public Policy Research Lab and are based on survey results of 10,000 Louisiana households. Secondary calculations were computed to arrive at estimates for uninsured children under 5 at the parish level.
Pre-Literacy Skills Measured at Kindergarten Entry - Based on DIBELS Scores in Fall 2009 -At High Risk for Poor Reading Outcomes	Department of Education: Literacy and Numeracy Reports: DOE Web site. Parish level reports are not published online but available upon request.	DIBELS: Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy – is a rapid assessment of pre-literacy skills to determine risk for later literacy outcomes. The assessment was developed and normed for K-3rd grade. Fall Kindergarten DIBELS has two domains (Initial Sound Fluency and Letter Naming Fluency) that are compiled in an instruction recommendation (intensive, strategic, and benchmark). In this indicator the percent of children considered at high risk for poor reading outcomes were those who were scored in need of intensive reading instruction/intervention. DIBELS is utilized here because it is a measure that is used almost universally statewide in the public schools.
Percent of Children (Ages 0-5) in Publicly Funded Pre-K, Head Start, Early Head Start or High Quality Child Care	Head Start/Early Head Start: ACF Data Center (2006) – current data provides number of HS/EHS slots. Also the State Head Start Collaboration Office has some enrollment data. LA Department of Education, Early Childhood Program. 3-5 Star Rated Centers from the Department of Children and Family Services and Quality Start Web site then phone survey of 3-5 star rated centers.	Publicly funded pre-k programs include LA 4, Title 1 Preschool, Starting Points, Special Education Pre-School, 8(g) Early Childhood Program, Education Excellence Fund, Even Start, Nonpublic School Early Childhood Development, or locally funded pre-k programs. Head Start and Early Head Start slots, and enrollment in 3-5 star rated centers are also included. The percentage is the number of children under five years old enrolled in these settings of the total children under five years old in the parish.

